

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/021,421 JORDAN. 02/10/98 8020/002 **EXAMINER** 024283 HM2270926 OUET, GRAZIANO & FOREST, PC GCLDBERG, J PO BOX 270930 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER LOUISVILLE CO 80027 1614 DATE MAILED: 09/26/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/021,421

Applicant(s)

Jordan

Examiner

Jerome D. Goldberg

Group Art Unit 1614



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 10, 2000	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except f in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 23-33	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	cted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _approved _disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	
received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. 3 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	No/o\
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	140(5).
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
,	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	I THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/021,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 23-33 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 11.

Applicants' remarks are noted but the other invention will support a separate patent.

The claims are still being examined as they read on the zinc salt.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the EP

10506207 Az and the GB 1215676. The EP discloses the zinc salt forms a chelate or complex in a equimolar ratio (page 3, lines 22-25) with 8- hydroxyquinoline sulfate (page 4, lines 18-19). The

Application/Control Number: 09/021,421 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

EP further, teaches carrier for said complex (page 5, lines 39-43). The GB patent teaches applicants' complex (page 1, bottom table) and that said complexes show "no side effects against human beings" (page 1, col 1, lines 30 and col. 2, line 55). The two patent do not teach all of the claimed carriers. Accor6dingly, one skilled in this art would be motivated to obtain the claimed composition from the prior composition in the absence of a side-by-side comparison.

Claims 1 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 22 fail to differ in scope since the composition in both claims are the same. Correction is required.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the specific cancerous lesions and/or precancerous lesions disclosed, does not reasonably provide enablement for the term "cancerous lesions" or "precancerous lesions". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The terms "cancerous lesions" and "precancerous lesions" in claims 1-22 lack clear examplary support in the specification as filed.

The cancer therapy art remains highly unpredictable, and no examples exist for efficacy of a single product against cancerous or preconceous lesions generally. Therefore, based on the unpredictable nature of the invention and state of the prior art, lack of guidance and working

Application/Control Number: 09/021,421 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

example, and extreme breadth of the claims, one skilled in the art could not use the entire scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner J. D. Goldberg, whose telephone number is (703) -308-4606. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:00 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Cintins, can be reached on (703) -308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) -308-4556 or 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) -308-1235.

Goldberg/LR

September 8, 2000